

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,225	03/23/2004	Donald V. Edwards	SIM 04023	8484	
7	590 12/02/2005	2/2005 EXAMINER		INER	
JAMES RAY & ASSOCIATES			WATSON, I	WATSON, ROBERT C	
2640 PITCAIRN ROAD MONROEVILLE, PA 15146			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3723		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,225	EDWARDS, DONALD V.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Watson	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuser of the reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 21 November 2005.		
	o)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8,13,17-26</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-12,14-16,27</u> is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restricting	<u>6,28 and 29</u> is/are withdrawn from cted.	consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objecti			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in a f the priority documents have beer al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 9-11, 14, 16, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Younick.

Younick shows an apparatus for applying upward pressure to an object. The apparatus includes a first means 6, an elongated rod 1, a second means 4, and a third means 7. Since the Younick device is a "wrecking bar", the examiner takes Official Notice that wrecking bars are commonly made from steel and that steel would have the strength characteristics recited in the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Younick in view of Morgen and Christensen et al.

Morgen teaches that various object and user hand engaging portions of the lifting lever may include grips or caps to cover the extremities of these engaging portions.

To provide grips or caps on any of the engaging portions of Younick would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Morgenberger.

Christensen et al teaches that the object engaging portions of a lever may be made from rubber or plastics. The examiner takes Official Notice that a common plastic is polyethylene. To make any engaging portion in Younick from polyethylene would have been obvious at the time of the invention in view of the teachings of Christensen et al.

Claims 6-8, 13, 17-26, and 28-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/21/05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

.0

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER